AI IN WITNESS TESTIMONIES

Ethical Engineer

The use of artificial intelligence in legal settings, particularly in recording witness statements, represents a significant evolution in the justice system. Such technology could improve the efficiency and accuracy of criminal investigations.

An AI-driven bot, capable of recording statements from witnesses and victims, is designed to collect accurate information quickly, often immediately following an incident, while impressions are still clear and emotions are manageable.

The stakes of deploying AI in this manner, however, are substantial. This application raises ethical, legal, and procedural questions, particularly regarding privacy, accuracy, and the role of AI in decision-making. While such technology could relieve pressure on overburdened legal systems, it also presents the risk of unintended bias and questions about AI's role in sensitive human interactions.

BACKGROUND

Legal institutions and AI developers are increasingly interested in the potential of AI to optimize data collection and streamline casework. In the Netherlands, the AIWitness project, a collaboration between Capgemini, Scotty AI, and the University of Groningen, aims to automate witness statements through a chatbot interface. This AI assistant will allow individuals to make their statements immediately, thereby preserving details that may otherwise fade with time.

The project's advocates highlight the potential to reduce workload and alleviate case backlogs, with AIWitness filling in critical gaps created by staffing shortages in law enforcement. By automating the preliminary stages of witness statements, they hope to create a tool that can handle language barriers and provide consistent, unbiased information to assist human officers. Yet, as with many applications of AI in critical societal roles, this initiative has sparked a debate over the suitability of AI in such sensitive and nuanced processes.

> *"When it takes days or even weeks to record witness statements, valuable details are often lost. Our AI bot is available immediately, preserving these details when memories are freshest."*

www.**ethicalengineer**.eu

AI Witness in the Netherlands

To understand the ethical and practical implications of using AI to record witness statements, let's explore a hypothetical scenario involving the AIWitness project in the Netherlands.

While AI technology for recording witness statements is already in use and the AIWitness project itself is real, this scenario is purely illustrative for discussion purposes, presenting diverse expert perspectives on its potential impacts. Frederik Peters, a legal technology consultant involved in the AIWitness project, sees significant benefits in this approach: *"With AIWitness, we're addressing a massive backlog in our legal system. When it takes days or even weeks to record witness statements, valuable details are often lost. Our AI bot is available immediately, preserving these details when memories are freshest. This technology is about efficiency and accuracy."*

Peters is convinced that AIWitness could alleviate strain on law enforcement by providing a consistent, unbiased system for initial statement collection: *"This is not about replacing people. It's about providing a tool that collects statements reliably. When we streamline these early stages, our officers have more time to focus on deeper investigations."*

Dieneke Timonsen, a police officer who frequently performs witness interrogations and witness testimonials is concerned about the lack of human insight and instincts: *"Frederik, I understand the need for efficiency, but AI simply cannot replicate the human touch in these critical moments. Witnesses are often traumatized or distressed, and the way a question is asked, or a statement is interpreted, can influence what they remember and share. An AI cannot fully grasp these subtleties. What happens if it misses something crucial?"*

Officer Timonsen emphasizes the risks tied to AI-driven processes, especially in emotionally sensitive settings: *"Automating witness statements could lead to misinterpretation or oversimplification. We're dealing with more than just data points. These are people's stories, experiences, and sometimes the only evidence available in a case. AI lacks empathy and can't adapt in real time to the needs of a witness."* Jeroen van Aalst, a data security expert, is more focused on the privacy and data protection challenges AIWitness brings: *"My primary concern is the handling of sensitive witness data. Storing and managing personal statements is inherently risky. While AIWitness can protect data with encryption, are we truly prepared for the consequences of a data breach? Witnesses need to trust that their statements won't be compromised or misused."*

Van Aalst stresses that without rigorous security protocols, AIWitness could inadvertently expose witnesses to privacy risks: *"Imagine if sensitive witness data were to leak. Not only could it jeopardize a case, but it could also expose witnesses to retaliation or harassment. If we can't guarantee absolute security, we're risking the integrity of the justice process itself."*

Frederik Peters responds, acknowledging these concerns but advocating for the system's benefits: *"Marianne, Jeroen, I completely understand your reservations. We've put safeguards in place to mitigate these risks. Each statement is reviewed by human officers before it's filed, and we're continuously working on the AI's ability to detect emotional cues. As for security, Jeroen, we've implemented multi-layered encryption and access controls to ensure data integrity."*

Ethical Considerations

Delegation of Sensitive Processes

The core issue of AIWitness lies in its attempt to replace a human-driven process with an automated one.

Can an AI truly handle the intricacies and sensitivities involved in a witness statement? Witnesses are often distressed or traumatized, and human interviewers can provide empathy, read body language, and adjust questioning based on nuanced interactions. While AIWitness might offer a consistent approach, its objectivity may also limit its ability to fully engage with witnesses' needs.

Accountability

If an AI misinterprets a statement or records it in a way that leads to inaccuracies, who is responsible?

In traditional settings, interviewers can be questioned or cross-examined, providing a level of transparency in the process. However, AI does not offer such straightforward accountability, making it challenging to assign blame if an error occurs. Furthermore, without human oversight, an AI might overlook important contextual cues that could affect the accuracy of a statement.

Bias and Fairness

AI systems are only as good as the data used to train them. If an AI is not carefully designed and tested for bias, it may unintentionally disadvantage certain groups or interpret statements in a biased way

For example, if an AI witness tool is trained predominantly on statements in specific languages or dialects, it may struggle to accurately record statements from witnesses speaking in less familiar ways.

Data Privacy and Security

The collection and storage of witness statements through AI introduce potential risks to privacy and data security.

These statements could contain sensitive information about both witnesses and victims, making their protection essential. AI developers must prioritize robust security measures to protect this data and minimize the risk of unauthorized access, misuse, or leaks. Data privacy regulations and strict handling protocols are necessary to maintain trust and prevent harm.

Ethical Considerations

Mitigating Risks

1. **Rigorous Oversight and Testing**
   Regular audits of the AI's functionality and decision-making processes are essential. This includes continually retraining the AI on diverse data sets to minimize potential biases and improve accuracy.

2. **Human Involvement**
   AIWitness should operate as a support tool, rather than as a replacement for human interviewers. Trained staff should review AI-recorded statements to ensure accuracy and accountability, providing a balance between efficiency and human judgment.

3. **Data Security Protocols**
   To safeguard sensitive data, stringent security protocols must be in place. This includes encryption, secure storage, and controlled access to ensure that witness information remains confidential and protected against breaches.

4. **Ethics Advisory Boards**
   AIWitness developers and partners should consider establishing an ethics advisory board, which could provide guidance on potential risks and act as a regulatory check for ongoing improvements and updates.

Questions for Reflection

1. What are the ethical implications of allowing an AI to conduct witness statements?
2. In the case of a misinterpreted statement recorded by AI, who should be held accountable?
3. How might AIWitness impact the accuracy and reliability of witness statements in criminal cases?
4. What measures can help mitigate potential biases in AI that interacts directly with witnesses?
5. How can we ensure that sensitive data collected by AIWitness remains secure and private

www.ethicalengineer.eu